

PARO Robot Interaction Decreases Pain and Agitation Scores in Hospitalized Older Adults with ADRD and/or Delirium

Pamela Z. Cacchione, PhD, CRNP, FGSA,
Ellen Munsterman, MSN, RN
Lisa Walke, MD, MSHA, AGSF
Lisa Triantos, MSN, RN, CEN
Jodi Cheeks, MBA, BSN, RN
Michelle J Johnson, PhD,
Parcia Baroni, MSN, RN NE-BC

INTRO

- Therapeutic robotic animals have been used in the community and long-term care settings, but rarely in the acute care setting. The ACE unit is the perfect setting to pilot test the use of the therapeutic robot.
- PARO (PersonAI Robot) is a relatively new therapeutic robot out of Japan currently being used in the community and long-term care settings to comfort PWD exhibiting agitation and restlessness (www.parorobots.com).

METHODS

- This is a randomized controlled pilot study of 104 older adults on an Acute Care for Elders Unit. Randomized to 1 hour of PAOR Robot Interaction 2 days in row compared to a Research Assistant for one hour two days in row.
- The CMAI_OT¹ and PAINAD² were completed at baseline and every 20 minutes X3 in both groups
- To determine if the PARO intervention group had less agitation and pain compared to the attention control group.
- Data was collected starting November 2019 but was disrupted by COVID.
- We analyzed the data using descriptive statistics and t-tests

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

- South East Pennsylvania Nursing Leaders Research Award; Penn Women's Visitor Award & Dr. Dorothy Mereness Endowed Research Fund, University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing Faculty Grant

Interaction with the PARO Robot improved agitation for first 20 minutes of as well as lowered pain over the first 60 minutes of the first interaction.

Day two interactions with the PARO Robot did not show significance differences on pain or agitation between the two groups



RESULTS: Demographics

Variable	Total (n=104)	Intervention (n=52)	Attention Control (n=52)	P value
Age				
Mean (STD)	82.3 (8.31)	81.8 (8.47)	82.8 (8.19)	0.519
Gender				
Male (%)	40 (38.5)	20 (38.5)	20 (38.5)	0.999
Female (%)	64 (61.5)	32 (61.5)	32 (61.5)	
Race				
Black /AA	81 (77.9)	38 (73.1)	43 (82.7)	0.237
White	23 (22.1)	14 (26.9)	9 (17.3)	
Ethnicity				
Non Hispanic	104 (100)	52 (100)	52 (100)	0.999
CMAI-OT Day 1				
Mean (STD)				
Baseline	9.3 (6.35)	9.8 (6.69)	8.8 (6.02)	0.449
20 minutes	5.1 (4.78)	3.8 (3.59)	6.4 (5.47)	0.005**
40 minutes	4.0 (4.69)	3.6 (4.80)	4.5 (4.58)	0.317
60 minutes	3.7 (4.15)	3.0 (4.14)	4.4 (4.08)	0.092
PAIN AD Day 1				
Mean (STD)				
Baseline	2.2 (2.15)	2.3 (2.04)	2.2 (2.28)	0.839
20 minutes	1.4 (1.91)	1.0 (1.51)	1.7 (2.20)	0.060
40 minutes	1.3 (2.01)	1.1 (1.84)	1.5 (2.17)	0.272
60 minutes	1.3 (2.00)	0.8 (1.60)	1.7 (2.26)	0.029*

DISCUSSION

- There was a significant improvement in agitation (CMAI_OT) within the first 20 minutes of introducing PARO to the Participants which was sustained but not statistically different from the attention control group at 40 and 50 minutes.
- There was a significant decrease in PAINA AD scores compared to the attention control group at 60 minutes with pain scores consistently declining for the intervention group compared to the attention control group
- There was no difference in agitation or pain between groups on Day 2.
- The PARO robot was as effective as a research assistant in this pilot study. The observed effects of increased verbalizations and the joy demonstrated by the participants were not systematically measured.
- Biophysiological measures such as galvanic skin sensors may capture the emotional response experienced by the participants.
- Clinician response to PARO should be captured in future studies.

REFERENCES

¹Griffiths, A. W., Alberts, C. P., Burnley, N. L., Creese, B., Walwyn, R., Holloway, I., Safarikova, L., & Sur, C. A. (2019). Validation of the Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory Observational (CMA-O) Tool. *Int Psychogeriatr*, 32(1), 75-85. doi: 10.1017/S1041610219000279.

²Warden, V., Hurley, A. C., & Volcsek, L. (2003). Development and psychometric evaluation of the pain assessment in advanced dementia (PAINAD) scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*, 4(1), 5-15. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.JAM.0000043422.31640.F7>



Tampa, FL • November 8-12

